
# Participants and planning

Participant experience	As at 30 Sep	As at 30 Jun
Active participants with approved plans	554,917	534,655
Children accessing early connections	14,484	13,940
Children waiting for early connections	327	388
Children benefiting from the Scheme no longer needing supports (% p.a.) <sup>1</sup>	5.2%	5.9%
Percentage of participants fully or partially self managing their plan	30%	30%
Percentage of plans activated within 90 days <sup>2</sup>	86%	86%
Number of participant plan reassessments completed in quarter <sup>3</sup> <sup>4</sup>	78,533	103,269



#### Performance summary:

- 588,725 participants (excluding children accessing early connections) have had an approved plan since July 2013. 554,917 of these continue to be active.
- 334,487 active participants have not previously received disability support via State and Commonwealth government programs in the past.
- In the current quarter, 23,165 participants have entered the Scheme and there are 14,484 children accessing early connections at the end of September 2022.
- 78,533 plans have had reassessments this guarter.
- 27,967 access decisions have been made in the quarter, of which 21,710 lead to access being met and are still active.
- 2,169 (9.4%) of the new active participants this quarter identified as First Nations Australians, taking the total number of First Nations participants nationally to 40,842 (7.4%).
- 2,061 (8.9%) of the new active participants this quarter are Culturally and Linguistically Diverse (CALD)<sup>5</sup>, taking the total number of CALD participants nationally to 50,968 (9.2%).

## Participant outcomes and satisfaction

Participant outcomes and satisfaction		
Participant and Scheme Outcome metrics from the Corporate Plan as at 30 September 2022 <sup>6</sup>	Latest Reassessment	Baseline
Participant employment rate	22%	21%
Participant social and community engagement rate	43%	36%
Parent and carer employment rate	50%	46%
	Latest	First
Participant perception of choice and control	Reassessment 76%	Reassessment 66%
% of participants rating their overall experience as very good or good by pathway stage – current vs previous quarter	2022-23 Q1	2021-22 Q4
• Access	81%	81%
Pre-planning	80%	79%
• Planning	85%	85%
Plan reassessment	69%	70%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This is an annualised rate of people leaving the scheme for participants aged 0 to 14 with approved plans or who received early connections.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Participants who joined the Scheme prior to 1 July 2016 and those with initial plans approved after the end of 2021-22 Q3 have been excluded.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Plans less than 31 days in duration have been excluded.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> The new definition is included under s49 of the NDIS Act (2013) and replaces the previous definition of plan review.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> The number of CALD participants excludes First Nations participants.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> The Outcome results include participants who have been in the Scheme for at least two years. Trial participants are excluded. Except for the parent and carer employment rate, only participants aged 15 and over are included. The Baseline results are at Scheme entry.

# **Participant Service Guarantee**

Percentage meeting the	Service Guarantee in quarter <sup>1</sup>	Service Guarantee	30 Sep	30 Jun
General	Explanation of a previous decision, after a request for explanation is received	28 days	99%	99%
Access	2. Make an access decision, or request for more information, after an access request has been received	21 days	100%	100%
	3. Allow sufficient time for prospective participants to provide information, after NDIA has requested further information	90 days	100%	99%
	4. Make an access decision, after the final information has been provided	14 days	99%	99%
Planning	5. Commence facilitating the preparation of a plan, after an access decision has been made	21 days	97%	96%
	6. Approve a participant's plan, after an access decision has been made	56 days	93%	90%
	7. Approve a plan for ECEl² participants, after an access decision has been made	90 days	98%	96%
Implementation	9. If the participant accepts the offer, hold a plan implementation meeting	28 days	100%	100%
Plan Reassessments	11. Commence facilitating a scheduled plan reassessment, prior to the scheduled reassessment date <sup>3</sup>	56 days	85%	59%
	12. Decide whether to undertake a Participant Requested Plan Reassessment, after the request is received ⁴	21 days	93%	100%
	13. Complete a reassessment, after the decision to accept the request was made	28 days	67%	60%
Amendments	14. Vary a plan, after the receipt of information that triggers the plan amendment process	28 days	93%	92%
	15. Vary a plan, after the receipt of information relating to a complex quote that triggers a plan amendment process	50 days	92%	96%
Reviewable Decisions	17. Complete an internal Review of a Reviewable Decision, after a request is received	60 days	94%	96%
	18. Implement an AAT decision to amend a plan, after receiving notification of the AAT decision	28 days	98%	96%
Nominee	19. Cancel participant requested nominee	14 days	100%	99%
	20. Cancel CEO initiated nominee	14 days	100%	91%

<sup>•</sup> The NDIA commenced measuring performance against the PSG metrics prior to the legislation of the Participant Service Charter and Guarantee. On 30 March 2022, the NDIS Amendment (Participant Service Guarantee and Other Measures) Bill 2021 passed in both houses of Parliament, and received Royal Assent on 1 April 2022.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> PSG results in the previous quarter may be restated due to ongoing logic refinement and changes in data quality.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> ECEI was previously known as Early Childhood Early Intervention. It is now known as Early Childhood Approach (ECA).


<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The NDIA's new participant check-in process ensures that every plan reassessment begins with a contact from the planner or partner to discuss review options well before any reassessment date. Plans are extended automatically if they have not been reassessed before reassessment date so participants have continuity of support.


<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> The definition of Participant Reassessment Request is now included under s48 of the NDIS Act and replaces the old definition of plan review request.

### **Provider and market metrics**

Market supply and participant costs	As at 30 Sep	As at 30 Jun
Total number of active providers (with at least one claim ever) <sup>1</sup>	18,914	18,347
Total number of active providers in last quarter <sup>1</sup>	9,648	9,456
Utilisation (6 month rolling average with 3 month lag) (%)	76%	75%
Plan utilisation by service district (% of service districts that are more than 10 percentage points below the benchmark) <sup>2</sup>	8%	6%
Market concentration (% of service districts where more than 85% of payments for supports go to the top 10 providers)	9%	9%
Payments paid within 5 days (portal) (%)	99.8%	99.8%
Total payments from 1 July 2022 (\$m)	\$8,072m	\$27,627m
Total annualised plan budgets (\$m)	\$40,376m	\$36,803m
Plan inflation (current quarter % per annum) <sup>3</sup> <sup>4</sup>	20.5%	12.7%
Inflation at plan reassessment (current quarter % per annum)	8.8%	4.4%
Inflation within a plan, between reassessments (current quarter % per annum)	11.6%	8.3%
Socioeconomic equity (%) <sup>5</sup>	103%	104%

- Total annualised plan budgets at 30 September 2022 were \$40,376m and payments from 1 July 2022 were \$8,072m.
- The number of active providers at the end of September is 18,914, growing by 3% in the quarter.
- Utilisation was 9% from 1 January 2022 to 30 June 2022, with no service district in Australia more than 10 percentage points from the adjusted national benchmark.
- In 9% (7 out of 80) of service districts, the top 10 providers provide more than 85% of payments.





#### Service districts more than 10% below plan utilisation benchmark:

- SA Far North (SA): 53% vs 73% benchmark
- NT East Arnhem: 55% vs 71% benchmark
- NT Darwin Remote: 51% vs 66% benchmark
- WA Kimberley-Pilbara: 57% vs 70% benchmark
- SA Eyre and Western: 62% vs 73% benchmark

#### • WA Midwest-Gascoyne: 59% vs 69% benchmark

#### Service districts with 90% to 95% of payments going to the 10 largest providers:

• NT East Arnhem: 93% vs 85% benchmark

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Active providers refer to those who have received payment for supporting Agency-managed participants.


<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The 'benchmark' in this analysis is the national average after adjusting for the proportion of participants in supported independent living in each service district and the length of time participants have been in the Scheme.


<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Total plan inflation consists of plan budget changes occurring at plan reassessment date, as well as changes occurring within a plan, between reassessments. Previously the NDIA has not included the additional percentage changes in plan budgets that occur within a plan and before plan reassessment date, when reporting this metric, and this has underestimated the extent to which plans have increased.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> The annualisation calculation of inflation excludes the impact of plan indexation in July following the Annual Pricing Review. The impact of this indexation is then explicitly added to the annualised calculation being a 4.6% one-off increase Nationally.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Socioeconomic status uses deciles from the ABS Index of Education and Occupation (IEO). A higher decile indicates that people residing in that area have a higher level of skills and qualifications on average. The socioeconomic equity metric is equal to the average annualised plan budget of participants residing in the top two IEO deciles divided by the average annualised plan budget of participants residing in the bottom two IEO deciles (participants not in SIL and aged 0 to 64).

## **Summaries by State/Territory**










- Average annualised plan budgets at 30 September 2022
  Average payments for 12 months to 30 September 2022
- Average payments for 12 months to 30 deptember 2022

# Average annualised plan budgets and average payments - Participcants not in SIL (\$) <sup>2</sup>



- NSW has the highest number (166,975) of active participants.
- The average annualised plan budget at the end of September for active participants is \$72,800 (\$56,100 for participants not in SIL and \$386,100 for participants in SIL).
- The average payment for the 12 months ending 30 September 2022 is \$56,200 (\$40,200 for participants not in SIL and \$347,700 for participants in SIL).
- NT has the highest average annualised plan budgets and payments. This is partially driven by a higher proportion of participants in SIL compared to other States/Territories.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> There is 1 active participant at 30 September 2022 with missing State/Territory information, which is not shown in the chart above.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Given the small size of the Other Territories (OT) and missing groups, average annualised plan budgets and average payments for these groups are not shown.